

Standard setting and the chaplaincy service

5th June 2007

Iona Barker

RGN, DipH/E, BA(Hons), MSc.

Deputy Director of Nursing,
Wiltshire PCT

Feedback from National Report

Caring for the Spirit

Disparity between perceived importance and performance

- 72% responded that the chaplaincy service model was important
- 55% have service model agreed
- Only 23% used practice models
- 61% saw research performance in the lower categories
- Only 28% audited practice against standards
- 68% rated their department as having high/medium performance in education received

CARING FOR THE SPIRIT:

A strategy for the chaplaincy and spiritual healthcare workforce

“The chaplaincy and spiritual healthcare workforce needs support in modernising to match the changes in healthcare and in spirituality within the UK”

“The role has become narrowed and outdated in some places where no regular testing of models of work, research and audit findings sustain evolutionary change”

“We encourage an approach to practice which uses models for evaluation and which adopts research awareness as a core activity for all chaplains”

I'm here to challenge you!

Challenges

- Evidence
- Isolation
- Comparing to other professional groups
- Regulation?
- Role of the Manager and supervision
- Quality & standard setting
- Job Descriptions and parity

Do we have?

- Annual Appraisal
- Recognised Mentorship
- Clinical supervision
- Managerial supervision
- Peer appraisal
- Training / updating / CPD

Where Now, What can we do?

- Vision Statement – revisit
- Standard setting
- Action planning
- Evaluate